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#### Abstract

We assign to any cofactor system a whole hierarchy of such systems. A sufficient condition for their complete integrability is given. The hierarchies admit construction of non-trivial integrable systems from trivial ones.


PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 45.20.Jj

In $[2,3]$ an interesting class of nonconservative Lagrangian systems called cofactor systems is considered. The cofactor systems naturally appear as a class of Lagrangian systems admitting quasi-Hamiltonian representation (see [2], also [4]). One of the main properties of these systems is that (under some additional assumptions) they admit involutive conservation laws. In this letter we give a necessary condition for the complete integrability of cofactor systems and assign to any of them a whole hierarchy of completely integrable cofactor systems. The construction of the hierarchies we propose is analogical to the construction of the geodesic hierarchies considered in $[1,8]$. The only difference here is that the present case admits the existence of external forces. Appearing naturally in Riemannian geometry, the geodesic hierarchies give a useful tool for obtaining non-trivial integrable systems from trivial ones [1,8]. The existence of hierarchies was a crucial point proving the commutative integrability of pseudo-Riemannian geodesically (projectively) equivalent metrics [1]. It seems that the construction of the geodesic hierarchies is connected not only to the class of the systems we consider but to a rather larger class of dynamical systems. It appears also that the subject has a long history connected with the names of Levi-Civita, Liouville, Painlevé, Weyl and many others (see [1]).

By definition, the cofactor systems are Lagrangian systems of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial q^{i}}(q, \dot{q})-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}^{i}}(q, \dot{q})=\mu_{i}(q) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kinetic energy $T$ is given by the quadratic form $T \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{2} g_{i j}(q) \dot{q}^{i} \dot{q}^{j}\left(g_{i j}=g_{j i}\right)$ and the external forces $\mu$ depend only on the coordinates $q=\left(q^{1}, \ldots, q^{n}\right)$ of the configuration space. The metric tensor $g_{i j}=g_{i j}(q)$ is assumed to be non-degenerate only.

Definition 1. A Lagrangian system (1) is called a cofactor system iff there exists a nondegenerate self-adjoint with respect to the metric $g(1,1)$-type tensor field $A_{j}^{i} \neq \operatorname{const} \delta_{j}^{i}$ such that the metric tensor $g_{i j}$ and the 1 -form $\mu_{i}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \nabla_{k} a_{i j}=\alpha_{i} g_{j k}+\alpha_{j} g_{i k}  \tag{2}\\
& \mu_{i}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} A_{i}^{k} \frac{\partial U}{\partial q^{k}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to the metric $g, a_{i j} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g_{i k} A_{j}^{k}$, and $U$ is a smooth function of the coordinates $q$.

Remark 1. Let us stress here that we do not assume that the metric tensor $g_{i j}$ is positive definite or that the operators $\left.A\right|_{q}: T_{q} M^{n} \rightarrow T_{q} M^{n}$ are diagonalizable.

Remark 2. It follows directly from equation (2) that the one-form $\alpha_{j}$ coincides with the differential of the function $\operatorname{tr} A=A_{i}^{i}$.

Further, we identify the cofactor systems with the triples $(g, A, \mu)$. All tensor fields we consider are $C^{\infty}$-smooth on some smooth manifold $M^{n}$. Throughout the letter we use the Einstein summation convention.

A standard procedure assigns to a cofactor system (1) a dynamical system on the phase space $T M^{n}$ (the tangent bundle of $M^{n}$ ). In invariant terms, equation (1) can be rewritten in Newton form as $\frac{\nabla \dot{q}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t)=-\vec{\mu}$, where $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to the metric $g$ and the vector field $\vec{\mu}$ has components $\mu^{i}$. If the forces $\mu$ are conservative, i.e. $\mu=\mathrm{d} V$, then the cofactor systems coincide with the Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to the Lagrangian function $L \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{2} g_{i j} \dot{q}^{i} \dot{q}^{j}-V$. After applying the Legendre transformation corresponding to $L, p_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g_{i k}(q) \dot{q}^{k}$, the cofactor systems take Hamiltonian form on $T^{*} M^{n}$ with Hamiltonian $H=\frac{1}{2} g^{i j}(q) p_{i} p_{j}+V$.

Examples of cofactor systems (projective hierarchy). Let $\left\{\left(q^{1}, \ldots, q^{n}\right)\right\}$ be the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Fixing an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider on the half-plane $\boldsymbol{H}_{+} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\bar{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid q^{n}>0\right\}$ the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d g_{k}^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A E})^{k} \mathrm{~d} \bar{q}, \mathrm{~d} \bar{q}\right\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{q}$ denotes the row-vector $\bar{q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(q^{1}, \ldots, q^{n}\right)$, the square matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are given by $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bar{q}^{\prime} \bar{q}+\operatorname{diag}\left(\epsilon_{1} d_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1} d_{n-1}, 0\right)\left(\bar{q}^{\prime}\right.$ denotes the transposition of $\left.\bar{q}\right), \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right), \epsilon_{k}= \pm 1$ and $d_{i} \neq d_{j}(i \neq j)$ are fixed real constants. The brackets $\langle.,$. denote the standard Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Denote by $g_{k}$ the symmetric bilinear form corresponding to the quadratic form $d g_{k}^{2}$. Consider the (1, 1)-type tensor field $A \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{A E}$. The operator $A$ is self-adjoint with respect to $g_{k}$ and non-degenerate on $\boldsymbol{H}_{+}$. Taking $U \in C^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{+}\right)$, we derive $\mu_{i}$ from formula (3). The results proved in [1] section IV show that the triples ( $g_{k}, A, \mu$ ) are cofactor systems.

Remark 3. Indeed, it is proved in [1] (section IVA) that the metrics $g_{k}$ and $d \bar{g}_{k}^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ $\frac{1}{q^{n 2}}\left\langle\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A E})^{k-1} \mathrm{~d} \bar{q}, \mathrm{~d} \bar{q}\right\rangle$ are geodesically equivalent (see definition 1 in [1]). The operator $A$ defined above coincides (up to a multiplication by a constant) with the operator $A\left(g_{k}, \bar{g}_{k}\right)$ given by formula (1) in [1]. Finally, lemma 1 in [1] (section IIA) shows that equation (2) from the definition of cofactor systems is satisfied.

Remark 4. The metric $\bar{g}_{1}$ is a metric of constant negative curvature. Other examples of cofactor systems can be extracted in the same way from the results proved in [7, 8]. It appears that the metric of the standard ellipsoid (the motion of a free particle restricted on the surface of the ellipsoid) and the metric of the Poisson sphere (and its analogues: see [7]) give examples of cofactor systems.

It was pointed out in [2] section IV that, provided $\mu$ is fixed, equation (3) is locally solvable with respect to $U$ iff $\mathrm{D}_{A} \mu=0$. The differential operator $\mathrm{D}_{A}$ acts on differential forms by the formula $\mathrm{D}_{A} \theta \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(1 / \operatorname{det} A) \mathrm{d}_{A}((\operatorname{det} A) \theta)=\mathrm{d}_{A} \theta+\alpha \wedge \theta$. Here $\mathrm{d}_{A}: \Omega^{k}\left(M^{n}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}\left(M^{n}\right)$ denotes a first-order derivation acting on the exterior algebra $\Omega^{*}\left(M^{n}\right)$ of scalar-valued differential forms and commuting with the exterior differential d: $\Omega^{k}\left(M^{n}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}\left(M^{n}\right)$, i.e. we suppose that $\mathrm{d}_{A}\left(\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d}_{A} \omega_{1}\right) \wedge \omega_{2}+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} \omega_{1}} \omega_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d}_{A} \omega_{2}$ and $\mathrm{dd}_{A}+\mathrm{d}_{A} \mathrm{~d}=0$. On smooth functions the 'differential' $\mathrm{d}_{A}$ acts by the formula $\mathrm{d}_{A} f=A^{*} \mathrm{~d} f$. The last three conditions define $\mathrm{d}_{A}$ uniquely. As mentioned in [2], equation (2) implies that the Nijenhuis torsion $N_{A}$ vanishes. Therefore, $\mathrm{d}_{A}^{2}=0$ (see [5]).
Remark 5. Suppose that the (1, 1)-type tensor field $J$ has vanishing Nijenhuis torsion $N_{J}=0$. It was proved in [5] that, provided $J$ is non-degenerate on $M^{n}$, the derivation $\mathrm{d}_{J}$ satisfies the Poincaré lemma. Moreover, Willmore proved that the cohomologies $H_{J}^{*}\left(M^{n}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(M^{n}\right)$ of the differential complexes $\left(\Omega^{*}\left(M^{n}\right), \mathrm{d}_{J}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega^{*}\left(M^{n}\right), \mathrm{d}\right)$ are isomorphic. The simple arguments used in [2] section IV show that $\mathrm{D}_{A}$ also satisfies the Poincaré lemma.

The aim of this letter is to prove the next theorem. Let $k$ be an integer. Denote by $g^{(k)}$ the metric $g^{(k)}(X, Y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g\left(A^{k} X, Y\right)$ (from now on we write simply $g^{(k)}=g A^{k}$ ).
Theorem 1. Suppose that the triple $(g, A, \mu)$ is a cofactor system. Then for every integer $k$ the triple $\left(g^{(k)}, A, \mu\right)$ is a cofactor system as well.
Therefore, in this way, we obtain hierarchies of quasi-Hamiltonian systems from the given one (see [2] section III).
Proof of theorem 1. Equation (2) is the same as equation (8) in lemma 1 in [1] (we replace $\lambda_{j}$ by $\alpha_{j} / 2$ ). It simply means that the metrics $g$ and $\bar{g} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(1 / \operatorname{det} A) g A^{-1}$ are geodesically equivalent (see definition 1 in [1]). Consider the tensor field $A(g, \bar{g})$ given by formula (1) in [1]. A direct calculation shows that $A(g, \bar{g})=A$. Consider the geodesic hierarchy corresponding to the pair metrics $g$ and $\bar{g}$ (see section IIB in [1])


For every integer $k$ the metrics $g^{(k)}$ and $\bar{g}^{(k)}$ are geodesically equivalent. It can be easily checked that $A\left(g^{(k)}, \bar{g}^{(k)}\right)=A$. Therefore, by lemma 1 in [1], the quadratic form $a^{(k)} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g^{(k)} A$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{p}^{(k)} a_{i j}^{(k)}=\alpha_{i} g_{j p}^{(k)}+\alpha_{j} g_{i p}^{(k)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla^{(k)}$ is the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to the metric $g^{(k)}$. Finally, remark that equation (3) is independent of the choice of the metric. This completes the proof of theorem 1.

Following [1] we give the next definition.

Definition 2. The sequence $\left(g^{(k)}, A, \mu\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called geodesic hierarchy of cofactor systems corresponding to the cofactor system ( $g, A, \mu$ ).

Combining the results of section VII in [2] and section IIIB in [1] we obtain the next corollary. Suppose that the manifold $M^{n}$ is connected and let its first cohomology group vanish, i.e. $H^{1}\left(M^{n}\right) \cong 0$.

Theorem 2. Let $(g, A, \mu)$ be a cofactor system on $M^{n}$ where $\mu=\mathrm{d} V$ is the differential of a smooth function $V \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n}\right)$. Suppose that there exists a point $q_{0} \in M^{n}$ where the degree of the minimal polynomial of the operator $\left.A\right|_{q_{0}}$ is $n$. Then for every integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the system ( $\left.g^{(k)}, A, \mu\right)$ is completely integrable.

Therefore, having a 'potential' cofactor system $(\mu=\mathrm{d} V)$, we obtain a whole hierarchy of completely integrable systems.
Remark 6. The condition $H^{1}\left(M^{n}\right) \cong 0$ assumed in theorem 2 can be replaced by the condition that for every fixed real constant $c$ the integrals $\int_{[\gamma] \in H_{1}\left(M^{n}\right)} \mu_{c}$ vanish. Here $\mu_{c} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{det}(A+c \mathbf{1})(A+c \mathbf{1})^{-1^{*}} \mu$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity endomorphism on $T M^{n}$.
Remark 7. To prove the Liouville integrability of the systems considered in theorem 2 we do not need the strong condition that the eigenvalues of $A$ are functionally independent. It is sufficient to assume only that there exists a point $q_{0} \in M^{n}$ where the degree of the minimal polynomial of $\left.A\right|_{q_{0}}$ is $n$. We do not assume that the operators $\left.A\right|_{q}$ are diagonalizable. In the case of diagonalizable $\left.A\right|_{q}$ a weaker statement, based on the Benenti theory of orthogonal separation of the variables, is formulated in [10].
Remark 8. Suppose that only the metric $g$ is given. To apply theorem 2 we need to find a cofactor system $(g, A, \mu)$ such that $\mu=\mathrm{d} V$ and the degree of the minimal polynomial of $\left.A\right|_{q_{0}}\left(q_{0}\right.$ is some fixed point on $\left.M^{n}\right)$ is $n$. It can be easily seen that the solutions $a_{i j}$ (and $A_{j}^{i}$ ) of equation (2) form a linear space $\mathcal{G}(g)$ (called the geodesic class of the metric $g$ ). Lemma 1 in [1] assigns to any non-degenerate $A \in \mathcal{G}(g)$ a metric $\bar{g} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(1 / \operatorname{det} A) g A^{-1}$ that has the same unparametrized geodesics as $g$. Hence, the condition of complete integrability proposed in theorem 2 can be interpreted as the condition of the existence of a 'maximally non-proportional' with respect to $T \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{2} g_{i j}(q) \dot{q}^{i} \dot{q}^{j}$ kinetic energy $\bar{T} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{i j}(q) \dot{q}^{i} \dot{q}^{j}$, that leads to the same (unparametrized) free motion on the configuration space as $T$.
Proof of theorem 2. Fixing an integer $k$, consider the cofactor system ( $g^{(k)}, A, \mu$ ). It follows from the definition of cofactor systems that $\mathrm{D}_{A} \mu=0$. It is clear that $D_{1} \mu=\mathrm{d} \mu=0$. It can be easily seen that the condition $D_{A+c 1} \mu=0\left(D_{A+c}=\mathrm{D}_{A}+c d\right)$ implies that the forms $\mu_{c}=\operatorname{det}(A+c \mathbf{1})(A+c \mathbf{1})^{-1^{*}} \mu=\mu_{n-1} c^{n-1}+\cdots+\mu_{0}$ are closed for every value of the real parameter $c$. Indeed, let us fix a point $x \in M^{n}$ and take an arbitrary $c(|c|>N>0)$ such that the operator $A+c \mathbf{1}$ is non-degenerate in an open neighborhood $U(q)$ of $q$. The Poincaré lemma for the operator $D_{A+c 1}$ (see remark 5) gives that there exist an open neighbourhood $U^{\prime}(q) \subset U(q)$ of the point $q$ and a smooth function $\kappa \in C^{\infty}\left(U^{\prime}(q)\right)$ such that $D_{A+c 1} \kappa=\mu$. The last equation is equivalent to the equation $\mathrm{d} \kappa^{\prime}=\operatorname{det}(A+c \mathbf{1})(A+c \mathbf{1})^{-1^{*}} \mu$, where $\kappa^{\prime} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \operatorname{det}(A+c \mathbf{1}) \kappa$. Hence, if $|c|>N$ then $\mu_{c}$ is closed. This implies that any of the forms $\mu_{n-1}=\mu, \mu_{n-2}, \ldots, \mu_{0}$ is closed. The condition $H^{1}\left(M^{n}\right) \cong 0$ simply means that there exist smooth functions $V_{n-1}=V, V_{n-2}, \ldots, V_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(M^{n}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{d} V_{k}=\mu_{k}(k=0, \ldots, n-1)$. Taking $V(c) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} V_{n-1} c^{n-1}+\cdots+V_{0}$, we obtain that $\mathrm{d} V(c)=\mu_{c}$. Applying theorem 4, section VII, in [2] to the cofactor system $\left(g^{(k)}, A, \mu\right)$, we obtain that the one-parameter family of functions

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{c}^{(k)}(p) & \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\operatorname{det}(A+c \mathbf{1})(A+c \mathbf{1})^{-1} A^{-k} g^{-1} p, p\right\rangle+V(c) \\
& =I_{n-1}^{(k)}(p) c^{n-1}+\cdots+I_{0}^{(k)}(p), \quad p \in T^{*} M^{n} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

are integrals in involution of the cofactor system $\left(g^{(k)}, A, \mu\right)$. The symbol $g^{-1}$ denotes the inverse to the Legendre transformation corresponding to the metric $g$ and the bracket $\langle.,$. denotes the canonical pairing between $T M^{n}$ and $T^{*} M^{n}$.
Remark 9. The coefficient $I_{n-1}^{(k)}(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A^{-k} g^{-1} p, p\right\rangle+V, p \in T^{*} M^{n}$, is a Hamiltonian of the cofactor system $\left(g^{(k)}, A, \mu\right)$.
Finally, let us prove that the integrals $I_{n-1}^{(k)}, \ldots, I_{0}^{(k)}$ are functionally independent. As we have seen the metrics $g^{(k)}$ and $\bar{g}^{(k)}$ are geodesically equivalent and $A\left(g^{(k)}, \bar{g}^{(k)}\right)=A$. By definition, the rank of the geodesic equivalence $r=r\left(g^{(k)}, \bar{g}^{(k)}\right)$ coincides with the number $\max _{q \in M^{n}} r_{A}(q)$ where $r_{A}(q)$ denotes the degree of the minimal polynomial of the operator $\left.A\right|_{q}$. Hence, $r=n$. Remark 3 in [1] shows that the set of points $q \in M^{n}$ where $r_{A}(q)=n$ is open and dense in $M^{n}$. Let us fix a point $q$ where $r_{A}(q)=n$. Proposition 3 and item (2) of lemma 2 (both in [1], section IIIB) give that the restrictions $\left.I_{n-1}^{(k)}\right|_{T_{q} M^{n}}, \ldots,\left.I_{0}^{(k)}\right|_{T_{q} M^{n}}$ are functionally independent on the fibre $T_{q} M^{n} \hookrightarrow T M^{n}$. This completes the proof of theorem 2.
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